UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/785,449	02/24/2004	Tracee Eidenschink	S63.2-111487	8514		
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 04/11/2007 & GOULD PC		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2903	3.		KOTINI, PAVITRA			
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3731			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
31 D	AYS	04/11/2007	PAI	PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				ϵ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany		10/785,449	EIDENSCHINK, TRACEE	Ξ			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Pavitra Kotini	3731				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
- -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communica IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Statı	ıs						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
28) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disp	osition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.					
Appl	ication Papers	•		•			
g)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152	<u>≥</u> .			
Prior	ity under 35 Ú.S.C. § 119	•					
12	a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ition No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attacl	nment(s)		·				
_	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-48 and 50, drawn to a catheter assembly, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
- II. Claim 49, drawn to a method of imparting selective rotatability and sealability to a balloon, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in artherotomy procedures.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/785,449

Art Unit: 3731

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1 and 50 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

- 1. The species as best exemplified in figure 1
- II. The species as best exemplified in figure 2
- III. The species as best exemplified in figure 3
- IV. The species as best exemplified in figure 4 and 5
- V. The species of figure 7
- VI. The species of figure 14
- VII. The species of figure 15

And subspecies:

- A) As shown in figure 16
- B) As shown in figure 17
- C) As shown in figure 18
- D) As shown in figure 19

The species are independent or distinct because the related inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together o have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and subspecies, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3731

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Attorney Hillson on April 2, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 3731

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pavitra Kotini whose telephone number is 571-272-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

P.Kotini Au 3731 .

> MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

M/ Haye